

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/062,791	10/062,791 01/30/2002		Clinton S. Hartmann	RFSC-0004	3373	
27964	7590	07/15/2005		EXAMINER		
HITT GAI P.O. BOX 8			DALENCOURT, YVES			
RICHARDS	SON, TX	75083	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2157	2157	
				DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/062,	791	HARTMANN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er .	Art Unit					
		Yves Da		2157					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE   - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no emunication. 30) days, a reply within the st tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a reply be time atutory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from oplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•								
1)[🛛	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 January 2002</u> .								
<i>'</i> —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)									
ŕ	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□									
Applicati	ion Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 February</u> Applicant may not request that any objected to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	2003 is/are: a)⊠ arection to the drawing(s) g the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. See ired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachmen	t(s)	•							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
3) 🔯 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/28/03</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2157

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is responsive to communication filed on 01/13/2002.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 10 - 12, 15, 20 - 22, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yoakum et al (US 6,421,674; hereinafter Yoakum).

Regarding claims 1,11, and 21, Yoakum teaches an object-naming network infrastructure and method (fig. 5), comprising a central object name server coupled to a computer network and constituting a first hierarchical level (500, fig. 5; col. 8, lines 48 – 67); and peripheral object name servers coupled to said computer network and constituting a second hierarchical level, said central object name server responding to an object name query received from a querying system by directing said querying system to query instead one of said peripheral object name servers in said second hierarchical level, said one of said peripheral object name servers alternatively responding to said query with an object name or directing said querying system to query

Art Unit: 2157

instead further peripheral object name servers constituting a third hierarchical level (508A-508Z; fig. 4; col. 4, lines 22 – 59; col. 9, lines 3 – 63).

Regarding claims 2, 12, and 22, Yoakum teaches the infrastructure and method as recited in claims 1, 11, and 21, wherein said object name query comprises a unique code associated with said object name (fig. 4; col. 8, lines 10 - 45).

Regarding claims 5, 15, and 25, Yoakum teaches the infrastructure and method as recited in claims 1, 11, and 21, wherein said querying system contains an object name cache for containing said object name, said querying system directing said object name query to said cache before directing said object name query to said central object name server (502, fig. 5; col. 8, line 58 through col. col. 9, line 11).

Regarding claims 10 and 20, Yoakum teaches the infrastructure as recited in claim 1, 1 wherein said computer network is the Internet (col. 2, lines 30 – 38).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Art Unit: 2157

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 3 – 4, 6 - 9,13 – 14, 16 – 19, 23 – 24, and 26 – 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoakum et al (US 6,421,674; hereinafter Yoakum) in view of Carrender et al (US 2003/0137403; hereinafter Carrender).

Regarding claims 3, 9, 13, 19, 23, and 28, Yoakum teaches substantially all the limitations in claim 1, but fails to specifically teach that said object name query comprises a 96-bit number derived from an identification tag; and wherein said querying system is associated with a tag reader.

However, Carrender teaches in an analogous art, a method and apparatus for identification, which comprises an object name query that comprises a 96-bit number derived from an identification tag (paragraphs [0050], [0185], [0189]); and wherein said querying system is associated with a tag reader (paragraphs [0029], [0042], [0044]).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Yoakum by incorporating an object name query that comprises a 96-bit number derived from an identification tag for the purpose of tracking electronic tags quickly and efficiently in a database, thereby providing a reliable tag identification method and system.

Art Unit: 2157

Regarding claims 4, 14, and 24, Yoakum teaches substantially all the limitations in claim 1, but fails to specifically teach that said object name query comprises information derived from a surface acoustic wave identification tag.

However, the examiner takes "Official Notice" that having an object name query comprises information derived from a surface acoustic wave identification tag is well known in the art.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that having an object name query comprises information derived from a surface acoustic wave identification tag is within the level skill of an artisan in the art for the purpose of tracking electronic tags quickly and efficiently in a database, thereby providing a reliable tag identification method and system.

Regarding claims 6 - 8, 16 – 18, and 26 – 27, Yoakum and Carrender teach all the limitations in claims 1, 11, and 21, and Carrender further teaches that at least some of said peripheral object name servers of said second hierarchical level are associated with corresponding object manufacturers, wherein address spaces of said peripheral object name servers of said second hierarchical level are centrally assigned, and wherein address spaces of said further peripheral object name servers of said third hierarchical level are assigned by corresponding object manufacturers (paragraphs [0186], [0189], [0197]).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Yoakum by having some of said

peripheral object name servers of said second hierarchical level are associated with corresponding object manufacturers, wherein address spaces of said peripheral object name servers of said second hierarchical level are centrally assigned, and wherein address spaces of said further peripheral object name servers of said third hierarchical level are assigned by corresponding object manufacturers for the purpose of tracking electronic tags quickly and efficiently in a database, thereby providing a reliable tag identification method and system.

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yves Dalencourt whose telephone number is (571) 272-3998. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 7:30AM - 6: 00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2157

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yves Dalencourt

July 7, 2005